

June 20, 2013

Ms. Debra Howland Executive Director and Secretary State of New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission 21 S. Fruit Street Suite 10 Concord, NH 03301-2429



Ms. Howland,

Solar Farm Bank LLC (SFB) New Hampshire Certification Code NH-II-13-O10 requests the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission (Commission) grant its approval and certification of our account for Class II REC for the photovoltaic array of:

Ivek Corporation
Mark Tanny owner
10 Fairbanks Road
North Springfield, VT 05156
Facility operator: Gary Blake
Telephone # 802-886-8838
Email: gblake@ivek.com

In Support of the request for Class II eligibility for the Ivek Corporation, SFB submits an original and two copies of the completed application, required documentation and supplemental supporting information.

Thank you for your consideration of SFB's request. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me directly.

Stephen Hirsh,

President



# State of New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission



21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10, Concord, NH 03301-2429

#### DRAFT

#### **APPLICATION FORM FOR**

# RENEWABLE ENERGY SOURCE ELIGIBILITY FOR CLASS I, CLASS II AND CLASS IV SOURCES (NON-BIOMASS)

Pursuant to New Hampshire Administrative Code <u>Puc 2500</u> Rules including Puc 2505.08, Certification of Certain Customer-Sited Sources

• Please submit one (1) original and two (2) paper copies of the completed application and cover letter\* to:

Debra A. Howland Executive Director New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission 21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10 Concord, NH 03301-2429

- Send an electronic version of the completed application and the cover letter electronically to executive.director@puc.nh.gov.
- \* The cover letter must include complete contact information and identify the renewable energy class for which the applicant seeks eligibility. Pursuant to Puc 2505.01, the Commission is required to render a decision on an application within 45 days of receiving a completed application.

If you have any questions please contact Barbara Bernstein at (603) 271-6011 or Barbara.Bernstein@puc.nh.gov.

1) Check the applicable class:						
Eligibility Requested fo	or Class I Class II					
2) General Information	on					
Applicant Name:	Mark Tanny					
Mailing Address:	10 Fairbanks Rd.	<i>-</i> /				
Town/City:	North Springfield	State: VT	Zip Code:	05156		
Primary Contact:	Mark Tanny					
Telephone:	802-886-2238	Cell: N/A				
Email address:	mtanny@ivek.com					

3) Facility Inform	nation		
Facility Name:	Ivek Corportation		
Mailing Address:	10 Fairbanks Rd		
Physical Address:	10 Fairbanks Rd		
Town/City:	North Springfield	State: VT	Zip Code: 05156
If the facility does	not have a physical address,	provide the Latitude	& Longitude
Facility Owner: Telephone:	Mark Tanny 802-886-2238	Cell:	
Email address:	mtanny@ivek.com	e	
If different from t	he owner:		
Facility Operator:	Gary Blake		
Telephone:	802-886-2238	Cell: N/A	
Email address:	gblake@ivek.com		
		rable energy facility including siz and to accommodate the descrip	e, a general summary of equipment otion.)
210 KW Solar arra	ay. 936 Sharp 224 watt solar	panels and 2 100 Kw Satcon Inv	erters
Fuel Type: Sol	ar Electricity	Gross Nameplate Capacity*:	209.664 kW
Initial Date of Co	mmercial Operation:	December 2009	
If different, the O	riginal Date of Operation:	<u> </u>	
		erconnection agreement and the box provided will expand to acc	e GIS database. If it does not, please ommodate the explanation.)
D		tion garages ant ac Attachment	1 of the Application If the

Provide the pertinent pages of the interconnection agreement as **Attachment 4** of the Application. If the interconnection agreement is a confidential document, there is no need to send more than the first few pages, the page that verifies the nameplate capacity of the facility and the signature pages. This will ensure that the applicant is not required to submit both original and redacted versions of the application.

If the facility is not required to have an interconnection agreement, provide explanation as to why an interconnection agreement is not required as **Attachment 4.** 

5) NEPOOL/GIS Asset ID and Facility Code

In order to qualify your facility's electrical production for RECs, you must register with the NEPOOL – GIS. Contact information for the GIS administrator follows:

#### James Webb

#### **Registry Administrator, APX Environmental Markets**

224 Airport Parkway, Suite 600, San Jose, CA 95110

Office: 408.517.2174

iwebb@apx.com

Mr. Webb will assist you in obtaining a GIS facility code and an ISO-New England asset ID number.

GIS Facility Code #	NON 35889	Asset ID #	
,			

If your facility is seeking Class I certification for the incremental new production of hydroelectric technologies to produce energy, proceed to question 6. Otherwise proceed to question 7.

6)

- 6.i) Demonstrate that the facility has had capital investments after January 1, 2006 resulting in an improvement of the facility's efficiency or an increase in the output of renewable energy pursuant to RSA 362-F:4(i).
- 6.ii) Include the Historical Generation Baseline as defined by RSA 362-F:2, X (a).

If your facility is seeking Class I certification for repowered Class III or IV sources, proceed to question 7. Otherwise proceed to question 8.

7)

- 7.i) Demonstrate that the facility has had new capital investments for the purpose of restoring unusable generation or adding to the existing capacity, including NHDES environmental permitting requirements for new plants pursuant to RSA 362-F:4, I (i).
- 7.ii) Provide documentation that 80 percent of the facility's tax basis in the resulting plant and equipment of the eligible generation capacity, including the NHDES permitting requirements for new plants, but exclusive of any tax basis in real property and intangible assets, is derived from the new capital investments pursuant to RSA 362-F:4,1(j).

If your facility is seeking Class I certification for formerly nonrenewable energy electric generation facilities, proceed to question 8. Otherwise, proceed to question 9.

- 8) Complete the following as Attachment 8:
- 8.i) Provide documentation that 80 percent of its tax basis in the resulting generation unit, including NHDES permitting requirements for new plants, but exclusive of any tax basis in real property and intangible assets, is derived from the new capital investments pursuant to <a href="Puc 2505.07">Puc 2505.07</a>.

If your facility is seeking Class IV certification for a hydroelectric facility with a nameplate capacity of one megawatt or greater, proceed to question 9. Otherwise, proceed to question 10.

- 9) Complete the following as Attachment 9:
- 9.i) Provide proof that the facility has installed upstream and downstream diadromous fish passages that have been approved under the terms of the facility's license or exemption from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission pursuant to RSA 362-F:4, IV (a).
  - Provide documentation that, when required, the facility has documented applicable state water quality certification pursuant to section 401 of the Clean Water Act for hydroelectric projects pursuant to RSA 362-
- 9.ii) F:4, IV (a).

If your facility is located in a control area <u>adjacent</u> to the New England control area, complete question 10.

- 10) Provide the following as Attachment 10.
- 10.i) Submit proof that the energy is delivered within the New England control area and such delivery is verified as required in <u>Puc 2504.01(a)(2) a. to e.</u>

If your facility is a customer-sited source, proceed to question 11. Pursuant to RSA 362-F:2, V, a customer-sited source means a source that is interconnected on the end-use customer's side of the retail electricity meter in such a manner that it displaces all or part of the metered consumption of the end-use customer.

11) If the facility is a customer-sited source you must retain the services of an independent monitor directly, or if participating in an aggregation pursuant to Puc 2506, complete the following. Note that the aggregator must work with an independent monitor responsible for the verification of the production of energy from the customer –sited source.

Independent Monitor's Name:
Paul Button

Town/City: Manchester
State: NH Zip Code: 03104

Telephone: 603-617-2469
Cell: 603-836-4402

Email address: pbutton@energy-audits-unltd.com

(A list of independent monitors is available at:

http://www.puc.nh.gov/Sustainable%20Energy/Renewable Energy Source Eligibility.htm.)

- **12)** Provide all necessary regulatory approvals, including any reviews, approvals or permits required by NHDES or the environmental protection agency in the facility's state as **Attachment 12**.
- 13) Provide a general description of how the generation facility is connected to the regional power pool via the local electric distribution utility. Please note that this information will be posted as public record. (*The box provided will expand to accommodate the description.*)

14) Provide a statement as to whether the facility has been certified under another non-federal jurisdiction's renewable portfolio standard. (The box provided will expand to accommodate the statement.)
If applicable, provide verification of any certifications that have been received for this facility as <b>Attachment</b> 14.
15) Provide any other pertinent information that you wish to include to assist in classification of the facility as Attachment 15.
16) The following affidavit must be completed by the owner attesting to the accuracy of the contents of the application pursuant to Puc 2505.02 (b) (14).
Ot AFFIDAVIT
have reviewed the contents of this application and attact that it
have reviewed the contents of this application and attest that it
is accurate and is signed under the pains and penalties of perjury.
Applicant's Signature Date 6/10/13
Applicant's Printed Name Tephon Hinch
Subscribed and sworn before me this $10^{10}$ Day of $10^{10}$ (month) in the year
County of Middlesey State of Massachuse #3
Effi Marshall
Notary Pu∯lid/Justice of the Peace
My Commission Expires May 23, 2019
0



Appl	ication Checklist:	check
1-3	All general and facility information has been provided in numbers 1), 2) and 3).	-
4	The nameplate capacity matches the interconnection agreement and the GIS database.	NIA
4	Pertinent pages of the interconnection agreement have been provided as <b>Attachment 4</b> .	V
5	A GIS Asset ID and facility code has been obtained and provided on the application.	~
6	If your facility is seeking Class I certification for the incremental new production of	
	hydroelectric technologies to produce energy, Attachment 6	NIA
7	If your facility is seeking Class I certification for repowered Class III or IV sources,	
	Attachment 7.	NA
8	If your facility is seeking Class I certification for formerly nonrenewable energy electric	
	generation facilities, Attachment 8.	NIA
9	If your facility is seeking Class IV certification for the electric production of hydroelectric	
	technologies with a nameplate capacity of one megawatt or greater, Attachment 9.	NIA
10	If your facility is located in a control area <u>adjacent</u> to the New England control area,	
	Attachment 10.	NIA
11	If the facility is a customer-sited source you have retained the services of an independent	
	monitor and noted the independent monitor on the application.	·
12	All necessary regulatory approvals, including any reviews, approvals or permits required	
	by NHDES or the environmental protection agency in the facility's state have been	
	provided as Attachment 12.	1
13	A general description of how the generation facility is connected to the regional power	
	pool via the local electric distribution utility has been provided.	V
14	If applicable, verification of all renewable portfolio standard program certifications that	
	have been received for this facility in other states, provided as Attachment 14.	None
15	If necessary, other pertinent information that will assist in classification of the facility	
	provided as Attachment 15.	N/A
16	A statement that there are no prohibited relationships between the Applicant and other	
	involved parties has been provided.	on Rec
17	The affidavit by the owner attesting to the accuracy of the contents of the application has	
	been completed. (A separate Attachment 17 may be substituted for the affidavit	/
	provided in the application.)	-

Note: Attachment numbers are matched with the number on the application. There are no attachments numbered 1, 2, 3, 5, 11, 13, 16, or 17. A separate attachment for the affidavit will be accepted.

Attachment 12 pg 1-10

#### STATE OF VERMONT PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD

Docket No. 7640

Petition of IVEK Corporation for a Certificate of Public Good, pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248(j), for authority to build a solar generation facility at North Springfield Industrial Park, Springfield, VT

Order entered: 9 3 2010

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This case involves a petition filed by IVEK Corporation ("IVEK") on July 2, 2010, requesting a Certificate of Public Good ("CPG") pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248(j) and Vermont Public Service Board ("Board") Rule 5.100 to authorize IVEK to build a 199.18 kW net-metered solar generation facility in the North Springfield Industrial Park in Springfield, Vermont. In today's Order, we conclude that the proposed project will be of limited size and scope, the petition does not raise a significant issue with respect to the substantive criteria of 30 V.S.A. § 248, the public interest is satisfied by the procedures authorized by 30 V.S.A. § 248(j) and Board Rule 5.100, and the proposed project will promote the general good of the state.

#### II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On April 6, 2010, IVEK submitted a completed Application for a Certificate of Public Good for Interconnected Net Metered Power Systems ("Application") pursuant to 30 V.S.A. §§ 219a and 248 and Board Rule 5.100. The Clerk of the Board notified IVEK that, due to the capacity of the proposed project and pursuant to Board Rule 5.109, the petition needed to be filed in accordance with the requirements of 30 V.S.A. § 248, and that it may file pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248(j).

On July 2, 2010, IVEK filed a petition pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248(j) for the construction and operation of a 199.18 kW net-metered solar electric generating facility in the North

Docket No. 7640 Page 2

Springfield Industrial Park in Springfield, Vermont. IVEK submitted prefiled testimony, proposed findings, and a proposed order pursuant to the requirements of 30 V.S.A. § 248(j).

On July 8, 2010, the Clerk of the Board issued a letter<sup>1</sup> to IVEK indicating that additional information was required to determine that the petition was complete and that it could be processed pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248(j).

On July 15, 2010, IVEK filed the required information.

Notice of the petition was sent on July 23, 2010, to all entities specified in 30 V.S.A. § 248(a)(4)(c), adjoining landowners, and other interested parties. A similar notice was posted on the Board's website. Each notice stated that any party wishing to submit comments as to whether the petition raises a significant issue with respect to the substantive criteria of 30 V.S.A. § 248 needed to file comments with the Board on or before August 20, 2010.

On July 23, 2010, the Clerk of the Board issued a memorandum requiring IVEK to file additional information.

On August 10, 2010, IVEK filed the required information.

On August 19, 2010, Central Vermont Public Service Corporation ("CVPS") filed comments on the proposed project with the Board.<sup>2</sup> The CVPS comments indicate the size and number of transformers that will be required for the proposed project, and that, pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 219a(h)(1)(E), IVEK will be responsible for all incremental costs associated with interconnection.

On August 24, 2010, the Department filed comments on the proposed project with the Board.<sup>3</sup> The Department concluded that the petition does not raise any significant issues with respect to the substantive criteria of 30 V.S.A. § 248. With its comments the Department filed a determination pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 202(f).

No other comments were received.

<sup>1.</sup> Letter from Susan M. Hudson, Clerk of the Board, to Michael F. Hanley, Esq., dated July 8, 2010.

<sup>2.</sup> Letter from Morris L. Silver, Esq., to Susan M. Hudson, Clerk of the Board, dated August 19, 2010.

<sup>3.</sup> Letter-from Sarah Hofmann, Esq., to Susan M. Hudson, Clerk of the Board, dated August 24, 2010.

Attachment 12 pg 3-4

Docket No. 7640

Page 3

#### III. FINDINGS

- 1. IVEK is a company as defined by 30 V.S.A. § 201, with its principal place of business at 10 Fairbanks Road in the North Springfield Industrial Park in Springfield, Vermont. Petition at 1.
- The proposed project is to be located on land adjacent to IVEK's principal place of business. This land will be leased from Marko Enterprises, LLC. Petition at 1.
- 3. Mark Tanny is the sole owner of both IVEK and Marko Enterprises, LLC. Petition at 1; Tanny pf. at 3.
- 4. The proposed solar electric generation facility will have a system-rated capacity of 199.18 kW and consists of 936 224-watt ground-mounted solar photovoltaic panels arranged in nine rows of 104 panels. The panels will be secured to aluminum posts that will be attached to concrete ballast on the ground surface. The panels will be fixed at an inclination of 35° from horizontal. The lowest point of the panels will be three feet off the ground, and the highest point will reach nine feet two inches off the ground. Tanny pf. at 3; exh. 12; Morton pf. at 5; exh. 10.
- 5. The panel wiring will be in conduit buried 18-24 inches below ground. The buried wiring will run between the rows of solar arrays and two 100 kW inverters. The inverters will be located in a shed that will be designed to look like a traditional Vermont sugarhouse. Tanny pf. at 4.
- 6. The proposed project will be a net-metered project pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 219a and Board Rule 5.100. The proposed project will have two meters: one meter to measure the project's electricity generation and the other will be IVEK's existing meter that measures electricity use. CVPS will be the interconnecting utility. The proposed project will connect to the CVPS distribution system at an existing pole on Precision Drive. Tanny pf. at 4; Morton pf. at 5.
- 7. In order to interconnect the proposed project with the CVPS system, CVPS has determined that three 75 kVA transformers, one for each phase, will be required. Letter from Morris L. Silver, Esq., to Susan M. Hudson, Clerk of the Board, dated August 19, 2010, at 1.
- 8. IVEK will be responsible for all incremental interconnection costs. Letter from Michael F. Hanley, Esq., to Susan M. Hudson, Clerk of the Board, dated August 10, 2010, at 2.

Docket No. 7640

Page 4

9. The proposed project will be surrounded by a chain link fence. The project and fence will comply with the setback requirements of the Town of Springfield. Tanny pf. at 4.

# Orderly Development of the Region [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(1)]

- 10. The proposed project will not unduly interfere with the orderly development of the region, with due consideration having been given to the recommendations of the municipal and regional planning commissions, the recommendations of municipal legislative bodies, and the land conservation measures contained in the plan of any affected municipalities. This finding is supported by findings 11 and 12, below.
- The proposed project is consistent with the land-use and energy sections of the Springfield Town Plan and the Southern Windsor County Regional Plan. Exh. 14 at 81, 63; exh. 15 at 27.
- 12. The Springfield Town Planning Commission, Selectboard of the Town of Springfield, and the Southern Windsor County Regional Planning Commission have all waived their right to 45-day notice prior to filing a petition for a CPG pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248(j). Letter from Michael F. Hanley, Esq., to Susan M. Hudson, Clerk of the Board, dated July 14, 2010, at 1 and attachments.

## System Stability and Reliability [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(3)]

13. The proposed project will not adversely affect system stability and reliability. CVPS performed a Fast Track screen pursuant to Board Rule 5.500, and the proposed project passed all screens. CVPS determined that the project, as proposed, will not cause any reliability or stability issues. Morton pf. at 6; exh. 16.

#### Aesthetics, Historic Sites, Air and Water Purity, the Natural Environment and Public Health and Safety [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5)]

14. The proposed project will not have an undue adverse effect on aesthetics, historic sites, air and water purity, the natural environment and public health and safety. This finding is

Attachment 12 pg 5-6

Docket No. 7640

Page 5

supported by findings 15 through 26, below, which are the criteria specified in 10 V.S.A. §§ 6086(a)(1)(B), (1)(D), (1)(E), (1)(F), (1)(G), (4), (8) and (8)(a), pursuant to Board Rule 5.107

#### Waste Disposal

[10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(B)]

15. All construction debris will either be recycled or disposed of at a state-approved landfill. Biebel pf. at 2.

#### Floodways

[10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(D)]

16. The proposed project is not located in a floodway or floodway fringe. Tanny pf. at 7; exh. 9 (revised).

#### Streams

[10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(E)]

17. There are no streams on or adjacent to the proposed project site. Tanny pf. at 8, exh. 8 (revised).

#### **Shorelines**

[10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(F)]

18. The proposed project is not near any shorelines. Tanny pf. at 8, exh. 8 (revised).

#### Wetlands

[10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(1)(G)]

19. The proposed project will not involve any activities within a wetland or wetland buffer Tanny pf. at 8.

#### Soil Erosion

[10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(4)]

20. The proposed project will not cause soil erosion or reduce the capacity of the land to hold water. Site preparation will require minimal grading. The only other ground disturbance will be the burial of conduit 18 to 24 inches below ground. Tanny pf. at 10; Morton pf. at 4.

Docket No. 7640

Page 6

#### Discussion

According to Mr. Tanny's prefiled testimony, the proposed project, due to its nature and size, does not require a federal stormwater construction permit or a state stormwater discharge permit. Under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System and the Clean Water Act<sup>4</sup>, construction projects involving one or more acres of land disturbance require a permit for the discharge of stormwater from the construction activities. Because the solar array will cover an area of approximately 281.25 feet wide by 329.81 feet long, it appears as though the proposed project may require a Construction General Permit 3-9020. We condition the CPG on IVEK inquiring with the Agency of Natural Resources as to whether a Construction General Permit 3-9020 is necessary for the proposed project, and if necessary, obtaining a Construction General Permit 3-9020, and employing appropriate erosion prevention and sediment control measures as contained in the Low Risk Site Handbook for Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control.

#### Aesthetics, Historic Sites and Rare and Irreplaceable Natural Areas [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8)]

- 21. The proposed project will not have an undue adverse impact on the scenic or natural beauty, aesthetics, historic sites, or rare and irreplaceable natural areas. This finding is supported by findings 22 through 24, below.
- 22. The proposed project will not have an adverse impact on the aesthetics or natural beauty of the area. The proposed project will be built in an industrial park on a parcel that currently contains nothing more than scrub brush. The proposed solar array will fit the context of the surrounding industrial park. Tanny pf. at 10-11; exh. 9 (revised).
- 23. The proposed project will not have an undue adverse effect on historic sites. There are no buildings with historical significance or land with archaeological significance within the North Springfield Industrial Park. Flint pf. at 2.

<sup>4.</sup> More information on construction stormwater permits can be found at the Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation Water Quality Division website: http://www.anr.state.vt.us/dec//waterq/stormwater/htm/sw\_cgp\_htm

Attachment 12 pg 7-8

Docket No. 7640

Page 7

24. There are no rare or irreplaceable natural areas in the vicinity of the proposed project. Tanny pf. at 11.

# Necessary Wildlife Habitat and Endangered Species [10 V.S.A. § 6086(a)(8)(A)]

25. There is no necessary wildlife habitat or rare, threatened or endangered species on or near the proposed project area. Tanny supplemental pf. at 2-3; exhs. 17, 18.

# Public Health and Safety [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(5)]

26. The proposed project will not adversely impact public health and safety. The array will be surrounded by a chain link fence, making it inaccessible to the public. Morton pf. at 4.

## Outstanding Resource Waters [30 V.S.A. § 248(b)(8)]

27. The proposed project is not located on or near any Outstanding Resource Waters as designated by the Water Resources Board. Tanny pf. at 5.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Based upon all of the above evidence, we conclude that the proposed project will be of limited size and scope, the petition does not raise a significant issue with respect to the substantive criteria of 30 V.S.A. § 248, the public interest is satisfied by the procedures authorized by 30 V.S.A. § 248(j), and the proposed project will promote the general good of the state.

#### V. ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Public Service Board of the State of Vermont that:

Docket No. 7640

1. The proposed installation and operation of a 199.18 kW net-metered solar generation facility by IVEK Corporation in the North Springfield Industrial Park in Springfield, Vermont, will promote the general good of the State of Vermont in accordance with 30 V.S.A. Section 248, and a certificate of public good to that effect shall be issued.

- Construction, operation, and maintenance of the proposed project shall be in accordance with the plans and evidence as submitted in this proceeding. Any material deviation from these plans must be approved by the Board.
- 3. Prior to commencing construction, IVEK Corporation shall obtain all necessary permits and approvals. Construction, operation, and maintenance of the proposed project shall be in accordance with such permits and approvals, and with all other applicable regulations, including those of the Agency of Natural Resources.

Dated at Montpelier, Vermont, this 3rd day of September , 2010.

s/ James Volz	
)	PUBLIC SERVICE
s/ David C. Coen	Board
)	OF VERMONT

Page 8

MI ICE OF	THE CLERK	
ILED:	September 3, 2010	
TTEST:	s/ Susan M. Hudson	

Clerk of the Board

OFFICE OF THE CIPPU

NOTICE TO READERS: This decision is subject to revision of technical errors. Readers are requested to notify the Clerk of the Board (by e-mail, telephone, or in writing) of any apparent errors, in order that any necessary corrections may be made. (E-mail address: psb.clerk@state.vt.us)

Appeal of this decision to the Supreme Court of Vermont must be filed with the Clerk of the Board within thirty days. Appeal will not stay the effect of this Order, absent further Order by this Board or appropriate action by the Supreme Court of Vermont. Motions for reconsideration or stay, if any, must be filed with the Clerk of the Board within ten days of the date of this decision and order.

Attachment 12 P2 9-10

#### STATE OF VERMONT PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD

Docket No. 7640

Petition of IVEK Corporation for a Certif	icate of
Public Good, pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 248	3(j), for
authority to build a solar generation facili	ty at
North Springfield Industrial Park, Spring	field, VT

Entered: 9/3/2010

# CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC GOOD ISSUED PURSUANT TO 30 V.S.A. SECTION 248

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED that the Public Service Board of the State of Vermont ("Board") this day found and adjudged that the proposed installation and operation of a 199.18 kW net-metered solar generation facility at 10 Fairbanks Road in the North Springfield Industrial Park in Springfield, Vermont, by IVEK Corporation will promote the general good of the State, subject to the following conditions:

- Construction, operation and maintenance of the proposed project shall be in accordance with the plans and evidence submitted in this proceeding. Any material deviation from these plans must be approved by the Board.
- 2. Prior to commencing construction, IVEK Corporation shall obtain all necessary permits and approvals. Construction, operation, and maintenance of the proposed project shall be in accordance with such permits and approvals, and with all other applicable regulations, including those of the Agency of Natural Resources.
- The net metering system shall comply with applicable existing and future statutory requirements and Board Rules and Orders.
- 4. In the event this Certificate is transferred pursuant to Board Rule 5.109(C)(1), the new owner of the system must file the required certificate transfer form with the Board prior to commencing operation of the system.

Docket No. 7040		,
DATED at Montpelier, Ver	mont, this 3 <sup>rd</sup> day of <u>Septembe</u>	r, 2010.
	s/ James Volz	) PUBLIC SERVICE
	s/ David C. Coen	) Board
		) OF VERMONT
		)
OFFICE OF THE CLERK		
Filed: September 3, 2010		
Attest: s/ Susan M, Hudson Clerk of the Board		
NOTICE TO READERS: This decise notify the Clerk of the Board (by e-mail, necessary corrections may be made. (F.	ion is subject to revision of technical error, telephone, or in writing) of any apparent et wail address: web clast@nate withs	s. Readers are requested to crors, in order that any

Attachment 12 pg

The second secon		•			
The State of Vermont	SITE #:	1876			
Department of Public Safety  Division of Fire Safety	INSPECTION NUMBER:	AR-7590			
ELECTRICAL INSPECTION REPORT	VIOLATIONS:	☐Yes ☑ No			
NAME OF BUILDING: TVEK - NEW DV SYSTEM	DATE OF INSPECTIO				
911 ADDRESS: 10 FAIRBAHR 50	TYPE OF IN  ROUGH  FLEINAL	SPECTION OTHER			
CITY/TOWN: NISPECO 17 ZIP: 05/50	☐ ENERGIZING ☐ COMPLAINT INVES ☐ RE-INSPECTION	T D P TIGATION			
LICENSED ELECTRICIAN/CONTRACTOR:   BRITE LITE ELT	L				
FINDINGS: CHECKED PV SYSTEM = JUST ADD					
HRC TAVET STICKERS TO CONTROL MIND ELECTRICAL					
VANES- CALL WHEN DONE					
	S 500 100	2 11 1 5			
FEARE- REQUIRE SIGNADE AROUN	D TV HER	44 3/			
1 TANGER					
OKAY TO ODERATE SYSTEM	<del></del>				
CODE CITATION:					
COMPLIANCE DATE: 17					
INSPECTED BY: FIND ICAM ICAT TELEPHONE #	N DENIROD OWNER				
WHITE-OFFICE; YELLOW-CONTRACTOR; PINK-INSPECTOR; GO	VIDE LIKORYO WILLIAM				

11/19/10 09:00

SITE 18176 EVENT 1346171 EWN AR-7590

STATE OF VERMONT

#### DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

#### **ELECTRICAL INSPECTION REPORT**

Owned By:

LEBLANK & ZEITZ 43 SCHOOL ST N SPRINGFIELD VT 05150 886-2239 \*\*/\*\*/\*\*

Inspection Type:
ENERGIZING & OTH

Inspected By: REA

#### Contractor:

BRITE LITE ELECTRIC LLC 802-674-9155 EM-5045, JAMES D CLOGSTON

Violations: NONE ENTERED Board Referral: NO FP Requested: NO Photos Taken: NO

#### Notes/Details:

ENERGIZED SERVICE TO PV SYSTEM -- OKAY

REQUIRE ALL LABELING BE INSTALLED BEFORE MAIN START-UP OF PV SYSTEM.
REQUIRE DC SWITCH ON 2 INVERTERS TO BE LABELED "MAIN SWITCH DC FOR ARRAYS # THRU #. AND THAT PHASE CONDUCTORS BE LABELED BY COLOR ON EACH CONTROL AND PANELBOARD CABINET.
CALL FOR FINAL INSPECTION.

1.000 (Cash) - 121 C.0001 1.000 (Cash) - 120 1.000 (Cash) - 120 1.000 (Cash)

# Town of Springfield ZONING PERMIT

B A	a conspicions place	z jor u periou oj	15 days		I a A	A
No	06	Date	e	15		
Owner	MARK	<u>ک</u> 0 ک	NIERF	RIS	es l	LC
Location	5	Pag	Cisi	on T	de	<b>A</b>
Builder	PRU	ENT	CIVI	NG.	100	٥_
Description						
	101	ler'	TER	Sil	(E)	
0	192	A	0	nd		
	). Viyn	ete	AFA	uce		****
	Cho	un	Line			
Signed		U ion	·G:	Ka	W.	2
			Ac	lministrat	ive Off	1Cer

Approval valid 15 days from date signed unless an appeal is filed with the secretary of the board of adjustment during that period.